

THE CHERN COEFFICIENT AND COHEN-MACAULAY RINGS

HOANG LE TRUONG

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to investigate a relationship between the index of reducibility and the Chern coefficient for primary ideals. Therefore, the main result of this paper gives a characterization of a Cohen-Macaulay ring in terms of its the index of reducibility, its Cohen-Macaulay type, and the Chern coefficient for parameter ideals. As corollaries to the main theorem we obtained the characterizations of a Gorenstein ring in term of its Chern coefficient for parameter ideals.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and I an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of R . One of our goals is to study the set of I -good filtrations of R . More concretely, we will consider the set of multiplicative, decreasing filtrations of R ideals, $\mathcal{A} = \{I_n \mid I_0 = R, I_{n+1} = II_n, n \gg 0\}$, integral over the I -adic filtration, conveniently coded in the corresponding Rees algebra and its associated graded ring

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n t^n, \text{gr}_{\mathcal{A}}(R) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n / I^{n+1}.$$

We will study certain strata of these algebras. For that we will focus on the role of the Hilbert polynomial of the Hilbert function $\ell(R/I_{n+1})$.

$$P_{\mathcal{A}}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i e_i(\mathcal{A}) \binom{n+d-i}{d-i}.$$

These integers $e_i(\mathcal{A})$ are called the Hilbert coefficients of $\mathcal{A}$. In particularity, the leading coefficient $e_0(\mathcal{A})$ is called the multiplicity of R with respect to $\mathcal{A}$. On occasionally, the first Hilbert coefficient $e_1(\mathcal{A})$ is referred to the Chern coefficient of $\mathcal{A}[V2]$. For Cohen-Macaulay rings, many penetrating relationships among these coefficients have been proved, beginning with Northcotts [No1]. More recently, similar questions have been examined in general Noetherian local rings. For example, at the conference in Yokohama 2008, W. V. Vasconcelos [V2] (see also) posed the following conjecture:

The Vanishing Conjecture. Assume that R is an unmixed, that is $\dim(\hat{R}/P) = \dim R$ for all $P \in \text{Ass } \hat{R}$, where $\hat{R}$ is the $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of R . Then R is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring if and only if $e_1(\mathfrak{q}) \geq 0$ for some parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of R .

Recently, this conjecture has been settled by L. Ghezzi, S. Goto, J.-Y. Hong. K. Ozeki, T. T. Phuong, W. V. Vasconcelos in [GGHOPV]. Moreover, S. Goto showed

This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 101.04-2014.15.

Key words and phrases: Chern coefficient, Irreducible submodules, the index of reducibility, parameter ideals, Cohen-Macaulay.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13H10, 13A30, 13B22, 13H15.

how one can use Hilbert coefficients of parameter ideals in order to study many classes about non-unmixed modules such as Buchsbaum modules, generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules, Vasconcelos modules, sequentially Cohen-Macaulay modules and so on (see [G], [CGT]). The goal of our paper is to continue this research direction. Concretely, we will give characterizations of a Cohen-Macaulay ring in term of its Chern coefficient and its index of reducibility. Let M be a finitely generated R -module of dimension s . Then we say that an R -submodule N of M is irreducible if N is not written as the intersection of two larger R -submodules of M . Every R -submodule N of M can be expressed as an irredundant intersection of irreducible R -submodules of M and the number of irreducible R -submodules appearing in such an expression depends only on N and not on the expression. Let us call, for each $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal I of M , the number $\mathcal{N}(I; M)$ of irreducible R -submodules of M that appearing in an irredundant irreducible decomposition of IM the index of reducibility of M with respect to I .

In history, Northcott and Rees [NR] proved that every parameter ideals of a Noetherian local ring R is irreducible if and only if R is Gorenstein. Addition, they showed that if every parameter ideals of a Noetherian local ring R is irreducible then R is Cohen-Macaulay. After that, D. G. Northcott [No, Theorem 3] proved that for parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q}$ in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring R , the index $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R)$ of reducibility is constant and independent on the choice of $\mathfrak{q}$. However, the property of constant index of reducibility for parameter ideals does not characterize Cohen-Macaulay rings. The example of a non-Cohen-Macaulay local ring R with $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R) = 2$ for every parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ was firstly given in 1964 by S. Endo and M. Narita [EN]. It seems now natural to ask whether characterize Cohen-Macaulay rings by index of reducibility for parameter ideals. Recently, author gave characterize Cohen-Macaulay rings by index of reducibility for parameter ideals and the irreducible multiplicity of M with respect to I . So the aim of our paper is to continue this research direction.

With this notation the main results of this paper are summarized into the following. We denote by $r(R) = \ell_R(\text{Ext}_R^d(R/\mathfrak{m}, R))$ the Cohen-Macaulay type.

Theorem 1.1. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, $d = \dim R \geq 2$. Assume that R is unmixed, that is $\dim \hat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\hat{R})$. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *R is Cohen-Macaulay.*
- (ii) *For all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$, we have*

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R) = e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}),$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

- (iii) *For all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$, we have*

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R) \leq e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}),$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

- (iii) *For all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$, we have*

$$e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = r(R),$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

- (iv) *For all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$, we have*

$$e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) \leq r(R),$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

From the main result, we get the following results.

Corollary 1.2. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring with $d = \dim R \geq 2$. Assume that R is unmixed, that is $\dim \hat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\hat{R})$. Then for all integers n there exists a parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$, we have*

$$r(R) \leq e_1(I; R) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}; R) \leq \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R),$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

Let us explain how this paper is organized. This paper is divided into 3 sections. In the next section, we give the characterizations of a Cohen-Macaulay ring in term of its Chern coefficient and the irreducible multiplicity for parameter ideals. The section 3 of the paper is devoted to prove a parts of the main result and its consequences. In the last section, we give the characterizations of a Cohen-Macaulay ring in term of its Chern coefficient and the Cohen-Macaulay type. As corollaries, we obtained the characterizations of a Gorenstein ring in term of its Chern coefficient for parameter ideals.

2. IRREDUCIBLE MULTIPLICITY

Throughout this paper we fix the following standard notations: Let R be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, $d = \dim R > 0$, $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ the infinite residue field. Let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of R . The associated graded ring $\text{gr}_I(R) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n/I^{n+1}$ is a standard graded ring with $[\text{gr}_I(R)]_0 = R/I$ Artinian. Let M be a finitely generated R -module of dimension s . Therefore the associated graded module $\text{gr}_I(M) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n M/I^{n+1} M$ of I with respect to M is a finitely generated graded $\text{gr}_I(R)$ -module. The Hilbert-Samuel function of M with respect to I is

$$H(n) = \ell_R(M/I^{n+1}M) = \sum_{i=0}^n \ell_R(I^i M/I^{i+1}M),$$

where $\ell_R(*)$ stands for the length. For sufficiently large n , the Hilbert-Samuel function of M with respect to I $H(n)$ is of polynomial type,

$$\ell_R(M/I^{n+1}M) = \sum_{i=0}^s (-1)^i e_i(I, M) \binom{n+s-i}{s-i}.$$

These integers $e_i(I, M)$ are called the Hilbert coefficients of M with respect to I . In the particular case, the leading coefficient $e_0(I, M)$ is said to be the multiplicity of M with respect to I and $e_1(I, M)$ is called by Vasconcelos([V2]) the Chern coefficient of I with respect to M . When $M = R$, we abbreviate $e_i(I, M)$ to $e_i(I)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s$. A lot of results are known on the Chern coefficient in the case where R is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. For example, as was proved by Northcott [No1], we always have $e_0(I) - \ell(R/I) \leq e_1(I)$. After Goto and Nishida in [GNi] gave to extend Northcotts inequality without assuming that R is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. Suppose that I contains a parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ as a reduction. Then $e_0(I) - \ell(R/I) \leq e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q})$. The purpose of this paper is to investigate upper bound of $e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q})$ by the index of reducibility of $\mathfrak{q}$, when $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$. Recall, we say that an R -submodule N of M is irreducible if N is not written as the intersection of two larger R -submodules of M . Every R -submodule N of

M can be expressed as an irredundant intersection of irreducible R -submodules of M and the number of irreducible R -submodules appearing in such an expression depends only on N and not on the expression. Let us call, for each $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal I of M , the number $\mathcal{N}(I; M)$ of irreducible R -submodules of M that appearing in an irredundant irreducible decomposition of IM the index of reducibility of M with respect to I . Remember that

$$\mathcal{N}(I; M) = \ell_R([IM :_M \mathfrak{m}]/IM).$$

Moreover, by Proposition 2.1 [CQT], it is well known that there exists a polynomial $p_{I,M}(n)$ of degree $s - 1$ with rational coefficients such that

$$\mathcal{N}(I^n; M) = \ell_R([I^n M :_M \mathfrak{m}]/I^n M) = p_{I,M}(n)$$

for all large enough n . Then, there are integers $f_i(I; M)$ such that

$$p_{I,M}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (-1)^i f_i(I; M) \binom{n+d-1-i}{d-1-i}.$$

The leading coefficient $f_0(I; M)$ is called the irreducible multiplicity of M with respect to I . When $M = R$, we abbreviate $f_0(I, M)$ to $f_0(I)$. From above notations, in this section, the main result is stated as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, $d = \dim R \geq 2$. Assume that R is unmixed, that is $\dim \hat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\hat{R})$. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *R is Cohen-Macaulay and R is not a regular local ring.*
- (ii) *For all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$, we have*

$$f_0(\mathfrak{q}) = e_1(I),$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

- (iii) *For all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$, we have*

$$f_0(\mathfrak{q}) \leq e_1(I),$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

- (iv) *There exists a parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ such that $I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I$ and $f_0(\mathfrak{q}) \leq e_1(I)$, where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.*

In our proof of Theorem 2.1, the key of problem is described by under facts. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s \in R (s \geq 1)$. Then $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s$ is called a d -sequence if

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}) :_R x_j = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}) :_R x_i x_j$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq s$. We say that $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s$ forms a strong d -sequence in R if $x_1^{n_1}, x_2^{n_2}, \dots, x_s^{n_s}$ is a d -sequence in R for all integers $n_i \geq 1 (1 \leq i \leq s)$. See [Hu] for basic but deep results on d -sequences.

Now, for a while, let us assume that R is a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein ring and $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = d$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(R)$. Hence R contains a system $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d$ of parameters which forms a strong d -sequence in R (see [Cu, Theorem 2.6] or [Kw, Theorem 4.2] for the existence of such systems of parameters). The following result was given by Goto and Sakurai (see [GSa, Theorem 2.1]) about the existence of equality $I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I$, where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring with $d = \dim R \geq 2$. Assume that R is unmixed, that is $\dim \hat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\hat{R})$. Then there exists a system $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d$ of parameters such that for all integer n_i $1 \leq i \leq d$, the equality $I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I$ holds true, where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{q} = (x_1^{n_1}, x_2^{n_2}, \dots, x_d^{n_d})$.*

Now we will apply the following result to the equality $I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I$ of Goto and Sakurai (see [GSa, Theorem 2.1]).

Proposition 2.3. *Assume that $\mathfrak{q} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ be a parameter ideal such that $I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I$, where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$. Then we have*

$$e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) \leq f_0(\mathfrak{q}).$$

Proof. Since $I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I$, we have $I^{n+1} = \mathfrak{q}^n I$ for all $n \geq 1$. Thus, we have

$$\ell(R/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}) - \ell(R/I^{n+1}) = \ell((\mathfrak{q}^n(\mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}))/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}) \leq \ell((\mathfrak{q}^{n+1} : \mathfrak{m})/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}).$$

Since $I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I$, we have $e_0(\mathfrak{q}) = e_0(I)$. Therefore $e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) \leq f_0(\mathfrak{q})$. $\square$

of Theorem 2.1. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a parameter ideal of R such that $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$. Put $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$. Since R is Cohen-Macaulay, we have $f_0(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; N) = \ell(I/\mathfrak{q})$ by Theorem 1.1 in [Tr]. Since $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$, by [CP, Theorem 2.2], we have $I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I$. It follows from $R/\mathfrak{m}$ is infinite that $e_1(I) = \ell(R/I) - e_0(\mathfrak{q})$ by Huneke and Ooishi ([Hu], [O] or cf. [CGT, Theorem 6.1]). Since R is Cohen-Macaulay, we have $f_0(\mathfrak{q}) = \ell(I/\mathfrak{q}) = e_1(I)$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is trivial.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) follows from Lemma 2.2.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Since $I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I$, by Proposition 2.3 we have $e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) \leq f_0(\mathfrak{q})$. Thus we get that $0 \leq e_1(I) - f_0(\mathfrak{q}) \leq e_1(\mathfrak{q})$. It follows from R is unmixed and the Theorem 1.1 of [GGHOPV] that R is Cohen-Macaulay, as required. $\square$

Corollary 2.4. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring with $d = \dim R \geq 2$. Assume that R is unmixed, that is $\dim \hat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\hat{R})$. Then for all integers n there exists a parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$, we have*

$$e_1(I; R) \leq f_0(\mathfrak{q}; R),$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 2.1. $\square$

3. CHERN COEFFICIENT

In this section, we denote by $\mathfrak{q}_i$ the ideal $(x_1, \dots, x_i)R$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$ and stipulate that $\mathfrak{q}_0$ is the zero ideal of R . For a module M over a ring R , we denote by $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ the i -th local cohomology module of M with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$. Then an R -module M is said to be a *generalized Cohen-Macaulay module* if $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ are of finite length for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$ (see [CST]). This condition is equivalent to say that there exists a parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ of M such that $\mathfrak{q}H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M/\mathfrak{q}_j M) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i+j < d$ (see [T]), and such a parameter ideal was called a *standard parameter ideal* of M . It is well-known that if M is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay module, then every parameter ideal of M in a high enough power of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ is standard. The following lemma can be easily derived from the basic properties of generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules (see [CT, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2] and [Tr, Proposition 3.4]).

Fact 3.1. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $d \geq 1$. Set $r_i(R) = \dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}}((0) :_{H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R)} \mathfrak{m})$. Then the following statements hold true.

- (1) There exists an integer n such that for all parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$, we have $I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I$, where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$ and

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R) = \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{d}{i} r_i(R),$$

- (2) Let $\mathfrak{q} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$ be a standard parameter ideal such that

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R) = \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{d}{i} r_i(R).$$

Then we have

(a) $r_i(R/(x_1)) = r_i(R) + r_{i+1}(R)$ for all $i \geq 0$.

(b)

$$f_0(\mathfrak{q}; R) \leq \begin{cases} f_0(\mathfrak{q}'; R') - (r_0(R) + r_1(R)) & \text{if } \dim R = 2, \\ f_0(\mathfrak{q}'; R') & \text{if } \dim R \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

(c)

$$f_0(\mathfrak{q}; R) \leq \sum_{j=1}^d \binom{d-1}{j-1} r_j(R).$$

Now we will apply Proposition 2.3 to generalized Cohen-Macaulay rings. From there we get the following result.

Corollary 3.2. Let R be a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension d . Then there exists an integer n such that for all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$, we have

$$e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) \leq f_0(\mathfrak{q}) \leq \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R),$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$. Moreover, $e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R)$ if and only if R is Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. Choose an integer n as in Fact 3.1 1). Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a parameter ideal such that $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$. Then by Fact 3.1 2) we have $I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I$ and $f_0(\mathfrak{q}) \leq \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R)$ for all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$. It follows from Proposition 2.3 that

$$e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) \leq f_0(\mathfrak{q}) \leq \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R).$$

Now assume that $e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R)$. Then $f_0(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R)$. Since $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$, we have $f_0(\mathfrak{q}; R) \leq \sum_{j=1}^d \binom{d-1}{j-1} r_j(R) \leq \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{d}{i} r_i(R) = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R)$. Therefore $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R) = 0$ for all $i \neq d$. Hence R is Cohen-Macaulay.

If R is Cohen-Macaulay then by Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 1.1 in [Tr] we have $e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = 0$, $e_1(I) = f_0(\mathfrak{q})$ and $f_0(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R)$. Hence $e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R)$, as required. $\square$

Now we set $W = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$. When we investigate in the case of $W \neq 0$, we reduce $W = 0$ using the next result (See [CGT] and [Tr]), which is well known, plays a key role.

Fact 3.3. Set $\overline{R} = R/W$. Then the following statements holds true.

- (1) $e_1(I; \overline{R}) = e_1(I; R)$ provided $d \geq 2$ (see [CGT]).

- (2) There exists a positive integer n_0 such that for all $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{n_0}$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(I; R) = \mathcal{N}(I; \overline{R}) + \ell((0) :_R \mathfrak{m}),$$

and

$$(\mathfrak{q} + W) : \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m} + W.$$

- (3) We have

$$f_0(I; R) = \begin{cases} f_0(\overline{I}; \overline{R}) + \ell((0) :_R \mathfrak{m}) & \text{if } \dim R = 1, \\ f_0(\overline{I}; \overline{R}) & \text{if } \dim R \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

where $\overline{I} = (I + W)/W$.

The next lemma shows the existence of a special superficial element which is useful in many inductive proofs in the sequel.

Fact 3.4 ([GNi]). Suppose $\mathfrak{q}$ is a reduction of I . Then there exists an element $x \in \mathfrak{q}$ which is superficial for both I and $\mathfrak{q}$. Moreover, for such element $x \in \mathfrak{q}$, setting $R' = R/xR$, we have $e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = e_1(IR') - e_1(\mathfrak{q}R')$ provided $d \geq 2$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring with $d = \dim R \geq 2$. Assume that R is unmixed, that is $\dim \hat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\hat{R})$. Assume that there exists an integer n such that for all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$ we have*

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R) \leq e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}),$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$. Then R is Cohen-Macaulay.

In our proof of Proposition 3.5 the following facts are the key. See [GN, Section 3] for the proof.

Lemma 3.6. *Let R be a homomorphic image of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and assume that $\text{Ass}(R) \subseteq \text{Assh}(R) \cup \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \text{ht}_R(\mathfrak{p}) > 1 = \text{depth}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})\}$$

is a finite set.

of Proposition 3.5. We shall now show the our result by induction on the dimension of R . In the case $\dim R = 2$, R is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring since R is unmixed. It follows from Corollary 3.2 and $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R) \leq e_1(I; R) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}; R)$ that R is Cohen-Macaulay.

Suppose that $\dim R > 2$ and that our assertion holds true for $\dim R - 1$. Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec} R \mid \mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}, \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} > \text{depth} R_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1\}.$$

Then by Lemma 3.6, $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite set. We choose $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that

$$x \notin \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass} R} \mathfrak{p} \cup \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}} \mathfrak{p}.$$

Let $n_1 > n$ be an integer such that $x^{n_1} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(R) = 0$. Put $y = x^{n_1}$. Let $A = R/(y)$. Then $\dim A = d - 1$ and $\text{Ass} A \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\} = \text{Assh} A$. Therefore the unmixed component $U_A(0)$ of 0 in B has finite length, so that $U_A(0) = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(A)$. We now take a system $y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d$ of parameters of R -module A and assume that $y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d$ form a d -sequence in A .

Then since y is an R -regular, sequence $y = y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d$ form d -sequence in R , whence y_1 is a superficial element of R with respect to $\mathfrak{q} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d)$. Since $\dim R \geq 3$, therefore for all parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q}' = (y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$ of A which $y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d$ is d -sequence, it follows from Fact 3.4 we have $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}'A; A) = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R) \leq e_1(I; R) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}; R) = e_1(I'; A) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}'; A)$, where $I' = \mathfrak{q}'A :_A \mathfrak{m}A = (\mathfrak{q} :_R \mathfrak{m})A$. Let $W = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(A)$ and $\bar{A} = A/W$, and $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}A$. By Fact 3.3 2), that we can choose an integer $n_0 > n$ such that for all parameters ideals $\mathfrak{q}' \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^{n_0}$, we have $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}'; A) = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}'; \bar{A}) + \ell(0 :_A \mathfrak{n})$ and $e_1(I'; A) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}'; A) = e_1(\mathfrak{q}'\bar{A} : \mathfrak{n}; \bar{A}) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}'\bar{A}; \bar{A})$. Let $n' > n_0$ be an integer such that $\mathfrak{m}A \cap H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(A) = 0$. Let $y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d$ be a system of parameters of R -module $\bar{A}$ such that $(y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{n'}$ and assume that $y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d$ is a d -sequence in $\bar{A}$. Then because $(y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d)A \cap W = 0$, we have $y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d$ form a d -sequence in A . Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}'\bar{A}; \bar{A}) \leq e_1(\mathfrak{q}'\bar{A} : \mathfrak{n}; \bar{A}) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}'\bar{A}; \bar{A}),$$

where $\mathfrak{q}' = (y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d)$. By hypothesis of induction on d , we have $\bar{A}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Thus $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A) = 0$ for all $i \neq 1, d$. It follows from the following sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{\cdot y} R \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

that we have the long exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(R) \xrightarrow{\cdot y} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(R) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(A) \longrightarrow \dots$$

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R) \xrightarrow{\cdot y} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Then we have $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R) = 0$ for all $2 \leq i \leq d-1$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(R) = yH_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(R)$. Thus $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(R) = 0$ because $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(R)$ is a finite generated R -module. Therefore R is Cohen-Macaulay. $\square$

Theorem 3.7. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, $d = \dim R \geq 2$. Assume that R is unmixed, that is $\dim \hat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\hat{R})$. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) R is Cohen-Macaulay.
- (ii) For all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R) = e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}),$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

- (iii) For all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R) \leq e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}),$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a parameter ideal of R such that $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$. Put $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$. Since R is Cohen-Macaulay, we have $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R) = \ell(I/\mathfrak{q})$ and $e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = 0$. Note that $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$, by [CP, Theorem 2.2], we have $I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I$. It follows from $R/\mathfrak{m}$ is infinite that $e_1(I) = \ell(R/I) - e_0(\mathfrak{q})$ by Huneke and Ooishi([Hu], [O] or cf. [CGT, Theorem 6.1]). Since R is Cohen-Macaulay, we have $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R) = \ell(I/\mathfrak{q}) = e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q})$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) and (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) are trivial. (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follows from Proposition 3.5. $\square$

Corollary 3.8. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring with $d = \dim R \geq 2$. Assume that R is unmixed, that is $\dim \hat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\hat{R})$. Then for all integers n there exists a parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$, we have*

$$e_1(I; R) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}; R) \leq \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R),$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 3.7. $\square$

Let us note the following example of parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q}$ in non-Cohen-Macaulay local rings R with $\text{depth } R = d - 1$, for which one has $e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R)$, where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

Example 3.9. ([GSa1, Section 4])

Let $2 \leq d \leq m$ be integers. Let $A = k[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m, V, Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_d]$ be the polynomial ring with $m + d + 1$ indeterminates over a field k and let

$$\mathfrak{b} = (X_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m - 1)^2 + (X_2^m) + (X_i V \mid 1 \leq i \leq m) + (V^2 - \sum_{i=1}^d X_i Z_i).$$

We put $C = A/\mathfrak{b}$. Let $M = C_+ = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) + (v) + (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d)$ be the graded maximal ideal in C , where x_i, v , and a_j denote the images X_i, V , and Z_j in C , respectively. Then C is a d -dimensional graded non-Cohen-Macaulay ring with $\text{depth } C = d - 1$ and $\ell(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-1}(C)) = 1$ ([GSa1, Theorem 4.5]). We put $\mathfrak{q} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d)$. Then $M^2 = \mathfrak{q}M$, whence $\mathfrak{q}$ is a reduction of M and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d$ is a homogeneous system of parameters for the graded ring C . Let $J = \mathfrak{q} : M$. We then have $J^3 = \mathfrak{q}J^2$ and $\ell_C(J^2/\mathfrak{q}J) = 1$ ([GSa1, Proposition 4.7]). Let $R = C_M$, $I = JR$, and $Q = \mathfrak{q}R$. Then since $\ell(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-1}(R)) = 1$ and $\text{depth } R = d - 1$ we have

$$e_i(\mathfrak{q}; R) = \begin{cases} 2m & \text{if } i = 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq d. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\ell(R/I^{n+1}) = 2m \binom{n+d}{d} - (m-2) \binom{n+d-1}{d-1},$$

and so that $e_1(I) = m - 2$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R) = \ell(I/\mathfrak{q}) = \ell(R/\mathfrak{q}) - \ell(R/I) = 2m + 1 - (m + 2) = m - 1$. Therefore we have

$$e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R),$$

as required.

4. THE COHEN-MACAULAY TYPE

In this section, we give the characterizations of a Cohen-Macaulay ring in term of its Chern coefficient and the Cohen-Macaulay type. As corollaries, we obtained the characterizations of a Gorenstein ring in term of its Chern coefficient. In order to give the proof of the main theorem, we begin the following result.

Lemma 4.1. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring with $\dim R = 1$. Assume that $\mathfrak{q} = (x)$ be a standard parameter ideal of R such that $I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I$, where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$ and*

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R) = r_1(R) + r_0(R).$$

Then we have

$$e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = f_0(\mathfrak{q}) - r_0(R),$$

where $r_0(R) = \ell((0) :_R \mathfrak{m})$.

Proof. First, we shall show that $\mathfrak{q}^{n+1} :_R \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{q}^n(\mathfrak{q} :_R \mathfrak{m}) + ((0) :_R \mathfrak{m})$ for all $n \geq 0$. Indeed, the case $n = 0$ is trivial so we can assume that $n \geq 1$. Let $a \in (x^{n+1}) : \mathfrak{m}$. Since $(x^{n+1}) : \mathfrak{m} \subseteq (x^{n+1}) : x = (x^n) + H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$, we have $a = x^n b + c$ for some $b \in R$ and $c \in H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$. Since $\mathfrak{m}a \subseteq (x^{n+1})$ and $\mathfrak{m}x^n b \subseteq (x^n)$ we have $\mathfrak{m}c \subseteq (x) \cap H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R) = 0$. Thus $c \in (0) :_R \mathfrak{m}$. Therefore $x^n \mathfrak{m}b = \mathfrak{m}a \subseteq (x^{n+1})$. Hence $\mathfrak{m}b \subseteq (x) + H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$. Since $(x) \cap H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R) = 0$, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R) \rightarrow R/(x) \rightarrow R/((x) + H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)) \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows from $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R) = r_1(R) + r_0(R)$ that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (0) :_R \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow ((x) : \mathfrak{m})/(x) \rightarrow (((x) + H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)) : \mathfrak{m})/((x) + H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Therefore $b \in ((x) + H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)) :_R \mathfrak{m} = ((x) :_R \mathfrak{m}) + H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$. Thus $b = d + e$ with some $d \in (x) :_R \mathfrak{m}$ and $e \in H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$. In conclusion $a = x^n(d + e) + c = x^n d + c \in x^n((x) :_R \mathfrak{m}) + (0) :_R \mathfrak{m}$. Hence we have $(x^{n+1}) :_R \mathfrak{m} \subseteq x^n((x) :_R \mathfrak{m}) + (0) :_R \mathfrak{m}$ as desired.

Since $I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I$, we have $I^{n+1} = \mathfrak{q}^n I$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since $\mathfrak{q} \cap H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R) = 0$ and $\mathfrak{q}^{n+1} :_R \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{q}^n(\mathfrak{q} :_R \mathfrak{m}) + ((0) :_R \mathfrak{m})$, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (0) :_R \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{q}^{n+1} :_R \mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{q}^{n+1} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{q}^n(\mathfrak{q} :_R \mathfrak{m})) / \mathfrak{q}^{n+1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$\ell(R/\mathfrak{q}^{n+1}) - \ell(R/I^{n+1}) = \ell((\mathfrak{q}^n(\mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m})) / \mathfrak{q}^{n+1}) = \ell((\mathfrak{q}^{n+1} : \mathfrak{m}) / \mathfrak{q}^{n+1}) - \ell((0) :_R \mathfrak{m}).$$

Since $I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I$, we have $e_0(\mathfrak{q}) = e_0(I)$. Therefore $e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = f_0(\mathfrak{q}) - r_0(R)$. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let R be a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $d \geq 2$. Assume that $\mathfrak{q} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$ be a standard parameter ideal of R such that $I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I$, where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$ and*

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R) = \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{d}{i} r_i(R).$$

Then we have

$$e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = f_0(\mathfrak{q}) = \sum_{j=1}^d \binom{d-1}{j-1} r_j(R).$$

Proof. Let $R' = R/(x_1)$, $\mathfrak{q}' = \mathfrak{q}/(x_1)$, $I' = I/(x_1)$ and $\mathfrak{m}' = \mathfrak{m}/(x_1)$. We shall now show the our result by induction on the dimension of R . In the case $\dim R = 2$. Since $\dim R' = 1$ and $\mathfrak{q}'$ is a parameter ideal of R' , we have

$$e_1(I') - e_1(\mathfrak{q}') = f_0(\mathfrak{q}') - r_0(R').$$

Because of Fact 3.3 (3), we have $f_0(\mathfrak{q}') = r_0(R') + r_1(R')$. It follows from Fact 3.1 (2), Fact 3.4 and Proposition 2.3 that we have

$$e_1(I') - e_1(\mathfrak{q}') = e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) \leq f_0(\mathfrak{q}) \leq f_0(\mathfrak{q}') - (r_0(R) + r_1(R)) = f_0(\mathfrak{q}') - r_0(R') = r_1(R').$$

Hence we have $e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = f_0(\mathfrak{q}) = r_1(R') = r_1(R) + r_2(R)$.

Suppose that $\dim R > 2$ and our assertion holds true for $\dim R - 1$. By Fact 3.4 (2), we have $f_0(\mathfrak{q}; R) \leq f_0(\mathfrak{q}'; R')$. By the inductive hypothesis and Proposition 2.3, we have

$$f_0(\mathfrak{q}') = e_1(I') - e_1(\mathfrak{q}') = e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) \leq f_0(\mathfrak{q}) \leq f_0(\mathfrak{q}').$$

and $f_0(\mathfrak{q}') = \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-2}{j-1} r_j(R') = \sum_{j=1}^d \binom{d-1}{j-1} r_j(R)$ Hence we get

$$e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = f_0(\mathfrak{q}) = \sum_{j=1}^d \binom{d-1}{j-1} r_j(R),$$

as required. $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *Let R be a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $d \geq 2$. Then there exists an integer n such that for all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$, we have*

$$e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = f_0(\mathfrak{q}) = \sum_{j=1}^d \binom{d-1}{j-1} r_j(R),$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 4.2 and Fact 3.1. $\square$

Let $\mathfrak{q} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$ be a parameter ideal in R and let M be an R -module. For each integer $n \geq 1$ we denote by $\underline{x}^n$ the sequence $x_1^n, x_2^n, \dots, x_d^n$. Let $K^\bullet(x^n)$ be the Koszul complex of R generated by the sequence $\underline{x}^n$ and let $H^\bullet(\underline{x}^n; M) = H^\bullet(\text{Hom}_R(K^\bullet(\underline{x}^n), M))$ be the Koszul cohomology module of M . Then for every $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ the family $\{H^p(\underline{x}^n; M)\}_{n \geq 1}$ naturally forms an inductive system of R -modules, whose limit

$$H_{\mathfrak{q}}^p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H^p(\underline{x}^n; M)$$

is isomorphic to the local cohomology module

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^p(M) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ext}_R^p(R/\mathfrak{m}^n, M)$$

For each $n \geq 1$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $\phi_{\underline{x}, M}^{p, n} : H^p(\underline{x}^n; M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^p(M)$ denote the canonical homomorphism into the limit. With this notation we have the following.

Lemma 4.4 ([GSa1] Lemma 3.12). *Let R be a Noetherian local ring with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\dim R = d \geq 1$. Let M be a finitely generated R -module. Then there exists an integer ℓ such that for all systems of parameters $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ for R contained in $\mathfrak{m}^\ell$ and for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, the canonical homomorphisms*

$$\phi_{\underline{x}, M}^{p, 1} : H^p(\underline{x}, M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^p(M)$$

into the inductive limit are surjective on the socles.

With this notation we have the following.

Lemma 4.5 ([GS1], Lemma 1.7). *Let M be a finitely generated R -module and x be an M -regular element and $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_r$ be a system of elements in R with $x_1 = x$. Then there exists a splitting exact sequence for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$0 \rightarrow H^p(\underline{x}; M) \rightarrow H^p(\underline{x}; M/xM) \rightarrow H^{p+1}(\underline{x}; M) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let L be an arbitrary finitely generated R -module of dimension $s \geq 0$. We put

$$r_R(L) = \ell_R(\text{Ext}_R^s(R/\mathfrak{m}, L))$$

and call it the Cohen-Macaulay type of L . (Let us simply write $r(R)$ for $L = R$.) We then have

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; L) = r_R(L/\mathfrak{q}L)$$

for a parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of L . As is well known, if L is a Cohen-Macaulay R -module, then for every parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of L , we have

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; L) = \ell_R(\text{Ext}_R^s(R/\mathfrak{m}, L)) = \ell_R((0) :_{H_{\mathfrak{m}}^s(L)} \mathfrak{m}).$$

The following result give the characterizations of a Cohen-Macaulay ring in term of its Chern coefficient and its Cohen-Macaulay type.

Proposition 4.6. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring with $d = \dim R \geq 2$. Assume that R is unmixed, that is $\dim \hat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\hat{R})$. Assume that there exists an integer n such that for all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$ we have*

$$e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) \leq r(R),$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$. Then R is Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. We shall now show the our result by induction on the dimension of R . In the case $\dim R = 2$, R is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring since R is unmixed. By Corollary 4.3, we have $e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = f_0(\mathfrak{q}) = \sum_{j=1}^d \binom{d-1}{j-1} r_j(R)$. Since $e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) \leq r(R) = r_d(R)$, $r_j(R) = 0$ for all $j \neq d$. Therefore R is Cohen-Macaulay.

Suppose that $\dim R > 2$ and our assertion holds true for $\dim R - 1$. Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec} R \mid \mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}, \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} > \text{depth} R_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1\}.$$

Then by Lemma 3.6, $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite set. We choose $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that

$$x \notin \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass} R} \mathfrak{p} \cup \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{F}} \mathfrak{p}.$$

By Lemma 4.4 there exists an integer ℓ such that for all systems of parameters $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$ for R contained in $\mathfrak{m}^{\ell}$ and for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, the canonical homomorphisms

$$H^p(\underline{x}, R) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^p(R)$$

into the inductive limit are surjective on the socles. Let $n_1 > \max\{n, \ell\}$ be an integer such that $x^{n_1} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(R) = 0$. Put $y = x^{n_1}$. Let $A = R/(y)$. Then $\dim A = d - 1$ and $\text{Ass} A \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\} = \text{Assh} A$. Therefore the unmixed component $U_A(0)$ of 0 in B has finite length, so that $U_A(0) = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(A)$. We now take a system $y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d$ of parameters of R -module A and assume that $y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d$ form a d -sequence in A . Then since y is an R -regular, sequence $y = y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d$ form d -sequence in R , whence y_1 is a superficial element of R with respect to $\mathfrak{q} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d)$. Since $\dim R \geq 3$, therefore for all parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q}' = (y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$ of A which $y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d$ is d -sequence, it

follows from Fact 3.4 we have $e_1(I; R) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}; R) = e_1(I'; A) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}'; A)$, where $I' = \mathfrak{q}'A :_A \mathfrak{m}A = (\mathfrak{q} :_R \mathfrak{m})A$.

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.4, we have the canonical homomorphism

$$H^i(\underline{y}, R) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R)$$

into the inductive limit are surjective on the socles, for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $\underline{y} = y_1, y_2, \dots, y_d$. By the regularity of $y = y_1$ on R , it follows from the following sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{\cdot y} R \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

that there are induced the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^i(\underline{y}; R) & \longrightarrow & H^i(\underline{y}, A) & \longrightarrow & H^{i+1}(\underline{y}; R) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i+1}(R) \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

commutes, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows from the above commutative diagrams and Lemma 4.5 that after applying the functor $\text{Hom}(k, *)$, we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Hom}(k, H^i(\underline{y}, A)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(k, H^{i+1}(\underline{y}; R)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \text{Hom}(k, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(k, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i+1}(R)) & & \end{array}$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the map $\text{Hom}(k, H^{i+1}(\underline{y}; R)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(k, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i+1}(R))$ is surjective, so is the map $\text{Hom}(k, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(k, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i+1}(R))$. In particular, $\text{Hom}(k, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d-1}(A)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(k, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R))$ is surjective and so that $r(R) \leq r(A)$. Hence we have

$$e_1(I'; A) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}'; A) \leq r(A).$$

Let $W = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(A)$ and $\overline{A} = A/W$, and $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{m}A$. By Fact 3.3 1) and 2), that we can choose an integer $n_0 > n$ such that for all parameters ideals $\mathfrak{q}' \subseteq \mathfrak{n}^{n_0}$, $\mathfrak{q}'A :_A \mathfrak{m}A + W = (\mathfrak{q}'A + W) :_A \mathfrak{m}A$ and so that we have $e_1(I'; A) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}'; A) = e_1(\mathfrak{q}'\overline{A} : \mathfrak{n}; \overline{A}) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}'\overline{A}; \overline{A})$. Let $n' > n_0$ be an integer such that $\mathfrak{m}A \cap H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(A) = 0$. Let $y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d$ be a system of parameters of R -module $\overline{A}$ such that $(y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{n'}$ and assume that $y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d$ is a d -sequence in $\overline{A}$. Then because $(y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d)A \cap W = 0$, we have $y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d$ form a d -sequence in A . Therefore, since $d \geq 3$, we have

$$e_1(\mathfrak{q}'\overline{A} : \mathfrak{n}; \overline{A}) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}'\overline{A}; \overline{A}) \leq r(A) = r(\overline{A}),$$

where $\mathfrak{q}' = (y_2, y_3, \dots, y_d)$. By hypothesis of induction on d , we have $\overline{A}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Thus $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A) = 0$ for all $i \neq 1, d$. It follows from the following sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{\cdot y} R \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

that we have the long exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(R) & \xrightarrow{\cdot y} & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(R) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(A) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & & & & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R) & \xrightarrow{\cdot y} & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A) \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

Then we have $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R) = 0$ for all $2 \leq i \leq d-1$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(R) = yH_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(R)$. Thus $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(R) = 0$ because $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(R)$ is a finite generated R -module. Therefore R is Cohen-Macaulay. $\square$

Theorem 4.7. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, $d = \dim R \geq 2$. Assume that R is unmixed, that is $\dim \hat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\hat{R})$. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) R is Cohen-Macaulay.
- (ii) For all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$, we have

$$e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = r(R),$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

- (iii) For all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$, we have

$$e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) \leq r(R),$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a parameter ideal of R such that $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$. Put $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$. Since R is Cohen-Macaulay, we have $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R) = \ell(I/\mathfrak{q})$ and $e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = 0$. Note that $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$, by [CP, Theorem 2.2], we have $I^2 = \mathfrak{q}I$. It follows from $R/\mathfrak{m}$ is infinite that $e_1(I) = \ell(R/I) - e_0(\mathfrak{q})$ by Huneke and Ooishi([Hu], [O] or cf. [CGT, Theorem 6.1]). Since R is Cohen-Macaulay, we have

$$e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = \ell(I/\mathfrak{q}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}; R) = r(R).$$

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) are trivial.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follows from Proposition 4.6. $\square$

Corollary 4.8. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring with $d = \dim R \geq 2$. Assume that R is unmixed, that is $\dim \hat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\hat{R})$. Then for all integers n there exists a parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$, we have*

$$r(R) \leq e_1(I; R) - e_0(\mathfrak{q}; R),$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 4.7. $\square$

Theorem 4.9. *Let R be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, $d = \dim R \geq 2$. Assume that R is unmixed, that is $\dim \hat{R}/\mathfrak{p} = d$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\hat{R})$. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) R is Gorenstein.
- (ii) For all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q}$, we have

$$e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = 1,$$

where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Since R is Gorenstein, R is Cohen-Macaulay ring and $r(R) = 1$. By Theorem 4.7, we have

$$e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = r(R) = 1$$

for all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q}$, where $I = \mathfrak{q} : \mathfrak{m}$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Since $e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = 1$, for all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q}$, we have

$$e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) \leq r(R),$$

for all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q}$. By the Proposition 4.6, R is Cohen-Macaulay. Therefore, we have

$$1 = e_1(I) - e_1(\mathfrak{q}) = r(R),$$

for all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q}$. Hence R is Gorenstein, as required. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [CHV] A. Corso, C. Huneke, and W. V. Vasconcelos, *On the integral closure of ideals*, Manuscripta Mathematica, No. **95** (1998), 331-347.
- [CP] A. Corso and C. Polini, *Links of prime ideals and their Rees algebras*, J. Algebra **178** (1995), 224-238.
- [CPV] A. Corso, C. Polini, and W. V. Vasconcelos, *Links of prime ideals*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **115** (1994), 431-436.
- [Cu] N. T. Cuong, *p-standard system of parameters and p-standard ideals in local rings*, Acta Mathematica Vietnamica **20** (1995), 145-161.
- [CGT] N. T. Cuong, S. Goto and H. L. Truong, *Hilbert coefficients and sequentially CohenMacaulay modules*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **217** (2013) 470-480.
- [CQT] N. T. Cuong, P. H. Quy and H. L. Truong, *On the index of reducibility of powers of an ideal*, to appear Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra.
- [CST] N. T. Cuong, P. Schenzel and N. V. Trung, *Verallgemeinerte Cohen-Macaulay-Moduln*, M. Nachr., **85** (1978), 57-73.
- [CT] N. T. Cuong and H. L. Truong, *Asymptotic behavior of parameter ideals in generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules*, J. Algebra, **320** (2008), 158-168.
- [EN] S. Endo and M. Narita, *The number of irreducible components of an ideal and the semi-regularity of a local ring*, Proc. Japan Acad., **40** (1964), 627-630.
- [GGHOPV] L. Ghezzi, S. Goto, J.-Y. Hong, K. Ozeki, T. T. Phuong, and W. V. Vasconcelos, *The first Hilbert coefficients of parameter ideals*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **81** (2010), 679-695.
- [G] S. Goto, *Hilbert coefficients of parameters*, Proc. of the 5-th Japan-Vietnam Joint Seminar on Commutative Algebra, Hanoi (2010), 1-34.
- [GN] S. Goto and Y. Nakamura, *Multiplicity and tight closures of parameters*, J. Algebra, **244** (2001), no. 1, 302-311.
- [GNi] S. Goto and K. Nishida, *Hilbert coefficients and Buchsbaumness of associated graded rings*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **181** (2003) 61-74.
- [GSa] S. Goto and H. Sakurai, *The equality $I^2 = QI$ in Buchsbaum rings*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **110** (2003), 25-56.
- [GSa1] S. Goto and H. Sakurai, *The reduction exponent of socle ideals associated to parameter ideals in a Buchsbaum local ring of multiplicity two*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **56** (2004) 1157-1168.
- [GS1] S. Goto and N. Suzuki, *Index of Reducibility of Parameter Ideals in a Local Ring*, J. Algebra, **87** (1984), 53-88.
- [Hu] C. Huneke, *On the symmetric and Rees algebra of an ideal generated by a d-sequence*, J. Algebra, **62** (1980), pp. 268-275.
- [Kw] T. Kawasaki, *On Cohen-Macaulayfication of certain quasi-projective schemes*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **50** (1998), 969-991.
- [Na] M. Nagata, Local rings, Interscience New York, 1962.
- [No] D. G. Northcott, *On Irreducible Ideals in Local Rings*, J. London Math. Soc., **32** (1957), 82-88.
- [No1] D. G. Northcott, *A note on the coefficients of the abstract Hilbert function*, J. London Math. Soc. **35** (1960) 209-214.
- [NR] D. G. Northcott and D. Rees, *Reductions of ideals in local rings*, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **50** (1954) 145-158.

- [O] A. Ooishi, *Δ -genera and sectional genera of commutative rings*, Hiroshima Math. J., **27** (1987), 361-372.
- [Sc] P. Schenzel, On the use of local cohomology in algebra and geometry. In: Elias, J. (ed.) et al., Six lectures on commutative algebra. Basel (1998), 241–292.
- [T] N. V. Trung, *Toward a theory of generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Nagoya Math. J., **102** (1986), 1-49.
- [Tr] H. L. Truong, *Index of reducibility of parameter ideals and Cohen-Macaulay rings*, J. Algebra, **415**, pp. 35-49.
- [V2] W. V. Vasconcelos, *The Chern coefficients of local rings*, Michigan Math. J., **57** (2008), 725-743.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, VAST, 18 HOANG QUOC VIET STREET, 10307, HANOI, VIET NAM

E-mail address: hltruong@math.ac.vn